arXiv:1611.04195v1 [math.AP] 13 Nov 2016

# A NEW PROOF OF SCATTERING BELOW THE GROUND STATE FOR THE 3D RADIAL FOCUSING CUBIC NLS

BENJAMIN DODSON AND JASON MURPHY

Abstract. We revisit the scattering result of Holmer and Roudenko [5] on the radial focusing cubic NLS in three space dimensions. Using the radial Sobolev embedding and a virial/Morawetz estimate, we give a simple proof of scattering below the ground state that avoids the use of concentration compactness.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the initial-value problem for the focusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS) in three space dimensions:

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta)u = -|u|^2 u, \\ u(0) = u_0 \in H^1_x(\mathbb{R}^3), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $u : \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^3_x \to \mathbb{C}$. Solutions to (1.1) conserve the *mass*, defined by

$$M(u(t)) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t,x)|^2 \, dx$$

and the *energy*, defined as the sum of the kinetic and potential energies:

$$E(u(t)) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \tfrac{1}{2}|\nabla u(t,x)|^2 - \tfrac{1}{4}|u(t,x)|^4 \, dx.$$

We call (1.1) $\dot{H}^{1/2}$-critical, as the $\dot{H}^{1/2}$-norm of initial data is invariant under the scaling that preserves the class of solutions, namely,

$$u(t,x) \mapsto \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x).$$

By a solution to (1.1), we mean a function $u \in C_t H^1_x(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ on some interval $I \ni 0$ that satisfies the Duhamel formula

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta} u_0 + i \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(|u|^2 u)(s) \, ds$$

for $t \in I$, where $e^{it\Delta}$ is the Schrödinger group. If $I = \mathbb{R}$, we call $u$ global. A global solution $u$ to (1.1) *scatters* if there exist $u_\pm \in H^1_x(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\lim_{t \to \pm\infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta} u_\pm\|_{H^1_x(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0.$$

Equation (1.1) admits a global but non-scattering solution

$$u(t,x) = e^{it} Q(x),$$

where $Q$ is the *ground state*, i.e. the unique positive, decaying solution to the elliptic equation

$$-\Delta Q + Q - Q^3 = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Holmer and Roudenko [5] proved the following scattering result:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose $u_0$ is radial and $M(u_0)E(u_0) < M(Q)E(Q)$.*
*If $\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^1} < \|Q\|_{L_x^2}\|Q\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}$, then the solution to (1.1) is global and scatters.*

The proof in [5] was based on the concentration compactness approach to induction on energy. In this note, we present a simplified proof of Theorem 1.1 that avoids concentration compactness. We use the radial Sobolev embedding to establish a virial/Morawetz estimate, which in turn implies 'energy evacuation' as $t \to \infty$. Together with a scattering criterion introduced by Tao [6] (see Lemma 2.2), this suffices to prove Theorem 1.1.

**Remark 1.2.** In [3], Theorem 1.1 is extended to the non-radial setting, also through the concentration compactness approach. It remains to be seen if the non-radial case can be treated without concentration compactness.

**Remark 1.3.** The authors of [5] proved more than just scattering. Their proof gives global space-time bounds of the form

$$\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^5(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\big(M(Q)E(Q) - M(u_0)E(u_0)\big) \tag{1.3}$$

for some function $C : (0, M(Q)E(Q)) \to (0, \infty)$. We use a different scattering criterion introduced by Tao [6] (Lemma 2.2). One also obtains space-time bounds; however, as the proof will show, the bounds depend on the profile of the initial data and not just the size. In this sense, we prove something weaker than the result of [5]; however, our proof is much simpler.

**Remark 1.4.** The results of [3, 5] were generalized to other dimensions and intercritical nonlinearities by [2, 4]. It should be possible to generalize our arguments as well (at least in $d \geq 3$, where the Morawetz estimates should work out).

**Acknowledgements.** B.D. was supported by NSF DMS-1500424. J.M. was supported by the NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship DMS-1400706. This work was carried out while the authors were visiting the IMA at the University of Minnesota, which provided an ideal working environment.

## 2. Preliminaries

We write $A \lesssim B$ when $A$ and $B$ are non-negative quantities such that $A \leq CB$ for some $C > 0$. We indicate dependence on parameters via subscripts, e.g. $A \lesssim_u B$ indicates $A \leq CB$ for some $C = C(u) > 0$. We also use the big-oh notation $\mathcal{O}$. We write

$$\|f\|_{L_x^r} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)|^r \, dx\right)^{1/r}, \quad \|f\|_{L_t^q L_x^r(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} = \big\|\|f(t)\|_{L_x^r}\big\|_{L_t^q(I)},$$

with the usual adjustments when $q$ or $r$ is $\infty$. We write

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1} = \|\nabla f\|_{L_x^2}, \quad \|f\|_{H_x^1} = \|f\|_{L_x^2} + \|\nabla f\|_{L_x^2}, \quad \|f\|_{H_x^{1,r}} = \|f\|_{L_x^r} + \|\nabla f\|_{L_x^r}.$$

In this note, we restrict to radial (i.e. spherically-symmetric) solutions. The following radial Sobolev embedding (which may be deduced by the fundamental theorem of calculus and Cauchy–Schwarz) plays a crucial role:

**Lemma 2.1** (Radial Sobolev embedding)**.** *For radial $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$,*

$$\||x|f\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{H_x^1}.$$

2.1. **Local theory and a scattering criterion.** The local theory for (1.1) is standard. For any $u_0 \in H^1$, there exists a unique maximal-lifespan solution $u : I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ to (1.1). This solution belongs to $C_t H^1_x(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ and conserves the mass and energy. Because (1.1) is $H^1$-subcritical, we have an $H^1$-*blowup criterion*, namely, we can extend the solution as long as its $H^1$-norm stays bounded. In particular, if $u$ remains uniformly bounded in $H^1$ throughout its lifespan, then it is global. For a textbook treatment, we refer the reader to [1].

In [6], Tao established a scattering criterion for radial solutions to (1.1). The following appears in [6, Theorem 1.1]; for completeness, we include a sketch of the proof. We assume familiarity with Strichartz estimates.

**Lemma 2.2** (Scattering criterion, [6])**.** *Suppose $u : \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}^3_x \to \mathbb{C}$ is a radial solution to* (1.1) *satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty H^1_x(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq E.$$

*There exist $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(E) > 0$ and $R = R(E) > 0$ such that if*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{|x|\leq R} |u(t,x)|^2\,dx \leq \varepsilon^2,$$

*then $u$ scatters forward in time.*

*Sketch of proof.* Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $R \geq 1$ to be chosen later. The implicit constants below may depend on $E$. By Sobolev embedding, Strichartz, and monotone convergence, we may choose $T_0$ large enough depending on $u_0$ so that

$$\|e^{it\Delta}u_0\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6([T_0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} < \varepsilon.$$

We may further assume $T_0 > \varepsilon^{-1}$.

By assumption, we may choose $T > T_0$ so that

$$\int \chi_R(x)|u(T,x)|^2\,dx \leq \varepsilon^2,$$

where $\chi_R$ is a smooth cutoff to $\{|x| \leq R\}$. Using the identity

$$\partial_t |u|^2 = -2\nabla \cdot \operatorname{Im}(\bar{u}\nabla u),$$

which follows from (1.1), together with integration by parts and Cauchy–Schwarz, we deduce

$$\left|\partial_t \int \chi_R(x)|u(t,x)|^2\,dx\right| \lesssim \tfrac{1}{R}.$$

Thus, choosing $R \gg \varepsilon^{-9/4}$, we find

$$\|\chi_R u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(I_1\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad \text{where} \quad I_1 = [T - \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}}, T].$$

Using Hölder's inequality, Sobolev embedding, and radial Sobolev embedding, we deduce

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3} \lesssim \|\chi_R u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}\|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_t^\infty L_x^6} + \|(1-\chi_R)u\|^{\frac{1}{3}}_{L^\infty_{t,x}}\|u\|^{\frac{2}{3}}_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + R^{-\frac{1}{3}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where all space-time norms are over $I_1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

We next use the Duhamel formula to write

$$e^{i(t-T)\Delta}u(T) = e^{it\Delta}u_0 + F_1(t) + F_2(t),$$

where

$$F_j(t) := i\int_{I_j} e^{i(t-s)\Delta}(|u|^2u)(s)\,ds \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = [0, T - \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}}].$$

It is not difficult to prove by a continuity argument, Sobolev embedding, and Strichartz estimates, that

$$\|u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|u\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{1,6}(I\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim (1+|I|)^{1/2}$$

for any interval $I$. Thus, by Sobolev embedding and Strichartz,

$$\|F_1\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6([T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \int_{I_1} \|(|u|^2 u)(s)\|_{H_x^1}\, ds \lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^3} \|u\|_{L_t^2 L_x^\infty} \|u\|_{L_t^2 H_x^{1,6}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

where the final three space-time norms are over $I_1 \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Next, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\|F_2\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6([T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|F_2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_t^4 L_x^3([T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \|F_2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Noting that

$$F_2(t) = e^{i(t-T+\varepsilon^{-1/4})\Delta}[u(T-\varepsilon^{-1/4}) - u_0],$$

we can first use Strichartz to estimate

$$\|F_2\|_{L_t^4 L_x^3([T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 1.$$

On the other hand, by the $L_x^1 \to L_x^\infty$ dispersive estimate and Sobolev embedding,

$$\|F_2(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \int_{I_2} |t-s|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|u\|^3_{L_t^\infty H_x^1}\, ds \lesssim (t-T+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}})^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus

$$\|F_2\|_{L_t^4 L_x^\infty([T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{16}}, \quad \text{whence} \quad \|F_2\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6([T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{32}}.$$

Collecting the estimates above, we find

$$\|e^{i(t-T)\Delta} u(T)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6([T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{32}}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, one can then use a continuity argument to deduce

$$\|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^6([T,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{32}}.$$

By standard arguments, such a bound suffices to establish scattering. □

2.2. **Variational analysis.** We briefly review some of the variational analysis related to the ground state $Q$. For more details, see [5, 8].

The ground state $Q$ optimizes the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality:

$$\|f\|_{L_x^4}^4 \leq C_0 \|f\|_{L_x^2} \|f\|^3_{\dot{H}_x^1}.$$

The Pohozaev identities for $Q$ (which arise from multiplying (1.2) by $Q$ and $x\cdot\nabla Q$) imply that

$$\|Q\|_{L_x^2}\|Q\|_{\dot{H}_x^1} = \tfrac{4}{3} C_0^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad M(Q)E(Q) = \tfrac{8}{27} C_0^{-2}. \tag{2.1}$$

**Lemma 2.3** (Coercivity I)**.** *If $M(u_0)E(u_0) < (1-\delta)M(Q)E(Q)$ and $\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}_x^1} \leq \|Q\|_{L_x^2}\|Q\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}$, then there exists $\delta' = \delta'(\delta) > 0$ so that*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1} < (1-\delta')\|Q\|_{L_x^2}\|Q\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}$$

*for all $t \in I$, where $u : I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{C}$ is the maximal-lifespan solution to* (1.1)*. In particular, $I = \mathbb{R}$ and $u$ is uniformly bounded in $H^1$.*

*Proof.* By the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality and the conservation of mass and energy,

$$(1-\delta)M(Q)E(Q) \geq M(u)E(u) \geq \tfrac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2 - \tfrac{1}{4}C_0\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^3\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^3$$

for $t \in I$. Using (2.1), this becomes

$$1-\delta \geq 3\bigg(\frac{\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}}{\|Q\|_{L_x^2}\|Q\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}}\bigg)^2 - 2\bigg(\frac{\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}}{\|Q\|_{L_x^2}\|Q\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}}\bigg)^3.$$

Using a continuity argument and the fact that

$$1-\delta \geq 3y^2 - 2y^3 \implies |y-1| \geq \delta' \quad \text{for some} \quad \delta' > 0,$$

the first statement of the lemma follows. The second statement follows by noting that the $L^2$-norm is conserved and recalling the $H^1$ blowup criterion. □

**Lemma 2.4** (Coercivity II)**.** *Suppose* $\|f\|_{L_x^2}\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1} < (1-\delta)\|Q\|_{L_x^2}\|Q\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}$. *Then there exists* $\delta' = \delta'(\delta) > 0$ *so that*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2 - \tfrac{3}{4}\|f\|_{L_x^4}^4 \geq \delta'\|f\|_{L_x^4}^4.$$

*Proof.* Write

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2 - \tfrac{3}{4}\|f\|_{L_x^4}^4 = 3E(f) - \tfrac{1}{2}\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2.$$

By the sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality and (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} E(f) &\geq \tfrac{1}{2}\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2[1 - \tfrac{1}{2}C_0\|f\|_{L_x^2}\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}] \\ &\geq \tfrac{1}{2}\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2[1 - \tfrac{1}{2}(1-\delta)C_0\|Q\|_{L_x^2}\|Q\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}] \geq (\tfrac{1}{6} + \tfrac{\delta}{3})\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2 - \tfrac{3}{4}\|f\|_{L_x^4}^4 \geq \delta\|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2, \quad \text{which implies} \quad \|f\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2 - \tfrac{3}{4}\|f\|_{L_x^4}^4 \geq \tfrac{3\delta}{4(1-\delta)}\|f\|_{L_x^4}^4,$$

as desired. □

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Throughout this section, we suppose $u$ a solution to (1.1) satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. In particular, using the results of Section 2.2, we have that $u$ is global and uniformly bounded in $H^1$, and there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1} < (1-2\delta)\|Q\|_{L_x^2}\|Q\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}. \tag{3.1}$$

We will prove that the potential energy of $u$ escapes to spatial infinity as $t \to \infty$. The same is true for the kinetic energy, but we do not need that here.

**Proposition 3.1** (Energy evacuation)**.** *There exists a sequence of times* $t_n \to \infty$ *and a sequence of radii* $R_n \to \infty$ *such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{|x|\leq R_n} |u(t_n,x)|^4\,dx = 0.$$

Using Proposition 3.1, we can quickly prove Theorem 1.1. We only consider the case of scattering forward in time, as the other case is similar.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Section 2.2, $u$ is global and uniformly bounded in $H^1$. Fix $\varepsilon$ and $R$ as in Lemma 2.2. Now take $t_n \to \infty$ and $R_n \to \infty$ as in Proposition 3.1. Then, choosing $n$ large enough that $R_n \geq R$, Hölder's inequality gives

$$\int_{|x|\leq R} |u(t_n,x)|^2\,dx \lesssim R^{\frac{3}{2}} \biggl( \int_{|x|\leq R_n} |u(t_n,x)|^4\,dx \biggr)^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty.$$

In particular, Lemma 2.2 implies that $u$ scatters forward in time. □

We prove Proposition 3.1 by a virial/Morawetz estimate. We use the virial weight in a large ball around the origin, exploiting (3.1) and coercivity to get a suitable lower bound. Spatial truncation is necessary because our solutions are merely in $H^1$. The large-radii terms will be treated as error terms. One needs some compactness to deduce estimates that are uniform in time. Holmer and Roudenko [5] employed concentration compactness to reduce to the study of solutions with pre-compact orbit in $H^1$. We will instead employ the radial Sobolev embedding and use the standard Morawetz weight at large radii.

We first need a lemma that gives (3.1) on sufficiently large balls, so that we can exhibit the necessary coercivity. We define $\chi$ to be a smooth cutoff to the set $\{|x| \leq 1\}$ and set $\chi_R(x) := \chi(\frac{x}{R})$ for $R > 0$.

**Lemma 3.2** (Coercivity on balls)**.** *There exists $R = R(\delta, M(u), Q) > 0$ sufficiently large that*

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|\chi_R u(t)\|_{L_x^2} \|\chi_R u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1} < (1-\delta) \|Q\|_{L_x^2} \|Q\|_{\dot{H}_x^1},$$

*In particular, by Lemma 2.4, there exists $\delta' = \delta'(\delta) > 0$ so that*

$$\|\chi_R u(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2 - \tfrac{3}{4} \|\chi_R u(t)\|_{L_x^4}^4 \geq \delta' \|\chi_R u(t)\|_{L_x^4}^4$$

*uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

*Proof.* First note that

$$\|\chi_R u(t)\|_{L_x^2} \leq \|u(t)\|_{L_x^2}$$

uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, it suffices to consider the $\dot{H}^1$ term. For this, we will make use the following identity, which can be checked by direct computation:

$$\int \chi_R^2 |\nabla u|^2\,dx = \int |\nabla(\chi_R u)|^2 + \chi_R \Delta(\chi_R) |u|^2\,dx. \tag{3.2}$$

In particular,

$$\|\chi_R u\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2 \leq \|u\|_{\dot{H}_x^1}^2 + \mathcal{O}\bigl(\tfrac{1}{R^2} M(u)\bigr).$$

Choosing $R$ sufficiently large depending on $\delta$, $M(u)$ and $Q$, the result follows. □

To prove our virial/Morawetz estimate, we will use the following general identity, which follows by computing directly using (1.1).

**Lemma 3.3** (Morawetz identity)**.** *Let $a : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth weight. Define*

$$M(t) = 2\,\mathrm{Im} \int \bar{u} \nabla u \cdot \nabla a\,dx.$$

*Then*

$$\tfrac{d}{dt} M(t) = \int -|u|^4 \Delta a + |u|^2(-\Delta\Delta a) + 4\,\mathrm{Re}\, a_{jk} \bar{u}_j u_k\,dx,$$

*where subscripts denote partial derivatives and repeated indices are summed.*

Let $R \gg 1$ to be determined below. We take $a$ to be a radial function satisfying

$$a(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^2 & |x| \le \frac{R}{2}, \\ R|x| & |x| > R. \end{cases}$$

In the intermediate region $\frac{R}{2} < |x| \le R$, we impose that

$$\partial_r a \ge 0, \quad \partial_r^2 a \ge 0, \quad |\partial^\alpha a(x)| \lesssim_\alpha R|x|^{-|\alpha|+1} \quad \text{for} \quad |\alpha| \ge 1.$$

Here $\partial_r$ denotes the radial derivative, i.e. $\partial_r a = \nabla a \cdot \frac{x}{|x|}$. Under these conditions, the matrix $a_{jk}$ is non-negative.

Note that for $|x| \le \frac{R}{2}$, we have

$$a_{jk} = 2\delta_{jk}, \quad \Delta a = 6, \quad \Delta\Delta a = 0,$$

while for $|x| > R$, we have

$$a_{jk} = \tfrac{R}{|x|}\big[\delta_{jk} - \tfrac{x_j}{|x|}\tfrac{x_k}{|x|}\big], \quad \Delta a = \tfrac{2R}{|x|}, \quad \Delta\Delta a = 0.$$

**Proposition 3.4** (Virial/Morawetz estimate)**.** *Let $T > 0$. For $R = R(\delta, M(u), Q)$ sufficiently large,*

$$\tfrac{1}{T}\int_0^T \int_{|x|\le R} |u(t,x)|^4\,dx\,dt \lesssim_{u,\delta} \tfrac{R}{T} + \tfrac{1}{R^2}.$$

*Proof.* Choose $R = R(\delta, M(u), Q)$ as in Lemma 3.2. We define the weight $a$ as above and define $M(t)$ as in Lemma 3.3. Note that by Cauchy–Schwarz, the uniform $H^1$-bounds for $u$, and the choice of weight, we have

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |M(t)| \lesssim_u R.$$

We compute

$$\tfrac{d}{dt}M(t) = 8\int_{|x|\le\frac{R}{2}} |\nabla u|^2 - \tfrac{3}{4}|u|^4\,dx \tag{3.3}$$

$$+ \int_{|x|>R} -\tfrac{2R}{|x|}|u|^4 + \tfrac{4R}{|x|}|\nabla\!\!\!/\, u|^2\,dx \tag{3.4}$$

$$+ \int_{\frac{R}{2}<|x|\le R} 4\operatorname{Re} a_{jk}\bar{u}_j u_k + \mathcal{O}\big(\tfrac{R}{|x|}|u|^4 + \tfrac{R}{|x|^3}|u|^2\big)\,dx, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\nabla\!\!\!/$ denotes the angular part of the derivative. In fact, as $u$ is radial, this term is zero. We consider (3.3) as the main term and (3.4) and (3.5) as error terms.

In (3.3) we may insert $\chi_R^2$; using (3.2) as well, we then write

$$(3.3) = 8\big[\|\chi_R u\|_{\dot{H}^1_x}^2 - \tfrac{3}{4}\|\chi_R u\|_{L^4_x}^4\big] + \int \mathcal{O}\big(\tfrac{1}{R^2}|u|^2\big)\,dx + \int \mathcal{O}\big(\chi_R^4 - \chi_R^2\big)|u|^4\,dx.$$

We now apply the fundamental theorem of calculus on an interval $[0,T]$, discard positive terms, use Lemma 3.2, and rearrange. This yields

$$\int_0^T \int \delta'|\chi_R u(t,x)|^4\,dx\,dt \lesssim \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |M(t)| + \int_0^T \int_{|x|>R} |u(t,x)|^4\,dx + \tfrac{T}{R^2}M(u).$$

By the radial Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\int_{|x|>R} |u(t,x)|^4\,dx \lesssim \tfrac{1}{R^2}\|u\|_{L_t^\infty H_x^1}^2 M(u).$$

Continuing from above, we deduce

$$\tfrac{1}{T}\int_0^T \int_{|x|\leq R} |u(t,x)|^4\,dx\,dt \lesssim_{u,\delta} \tfrac{R}{T} + \tfrac{1}{R^2},$$

as desired. □

**Remark 3.5.** The idea of patching together the virial weight and the standard Morawetz weight is originally due to Ogawa and Tsutsumi [7]. If one worked only with a truncated virial weight, one would encounter the error term

$$\int_{|x|>R} |\nabla u(t,x)|^2\,dx.$$

If $u$ has a pre-compact orbit in $H^1$, this term can be made small uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Otherwise, it is not clear that this can be achieved.

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* Applying Proposition 3.4 with $T$ sufficiently large and $R = T^{1/3}$ implies

$$\tfrac{1}{T}\int_0^T \int_{|x|\leq t^{1/3}} |u(t,x)|^4\,dx\,dt \lesssim T^{-2/3},$$

which suffices to give the desired result. □

## References


[1] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **10.** MR2002047

[2] T. Cazenave, D. Fang, and J. Xie, *Scattering for the focusing energy-subcritical nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* Sci. China Math. **54** (2011), no. 10, 2037–2062. MR2838120

[3] T. Duyckaerts, J. Holmer, and S. Roudenko, *Scattering for the non-radial 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008), no. 6, 1233–1250. MR2470397

[4] C. Guevara, *Global behavior of finite energy solutions to the d-dimensional focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* Appl. Math. Res. Express. AMRX 2014, no. 2, 177–243. MR3266698

[5] J. Holmer and S. Roudenko, *A sharp condition for scattering of the radial 3D cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* Comm. Math. Phys. **282** (2008), no. 2, 435–467. MR2421484

[6] T. Tao, *On the asymptotic behavior of large radial data for a focusing non-linear Schrödinger equation.* Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. **1** (2004), no. 1, 1–48. MR2091393

[7] T. Ogawa and Y. Tsutsumi, *Blow-up of $H^1$ solution for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* J. Differential Equations **92** (1991), no. 2, 317–330. MR1120908

[8] M. I. Weinstein, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates.* Comm. Math. Phys. **87** (1982/83), no. 4, 567–576. MR0691044



Department of Mathematics, Johns Hopkins University
*E-mail address*: bdodson4@jhu.edu

Departments of Mathematics, UC Berkeley
*E-mail address*: murphy@math.berkeley.edu